

Optimal Curvature Decays on Asymptotically Locally Euclidean Manifolds

Szu-yu Sophie Chen *

Abstract

We present a method in nonlinear elliptic systems to study curvature decays on asymptotically locally Euclidean (ALE) manifolds. In particular, we show that scalar flat Kahler ALE metrics of real dimension n are of order $(n-2)$. The analysis can also apply to study removable point singularity problem.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. We denote the Riemannian curvature, Ricci curvature, the scalar curvature and Weyl curvature by Rm, Rc, R and W , respectively.

Definition 1. *A complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called asymptotically locally Euclidean (ALE) of order $\tau > 0$ if there exists a compact subset $K \subset M$ such that (each component of) $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $(R^n \setminus B_{r_0})/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset SO(n)$ is a finite group acting freely on $(R^n \setminus B_{r_0})$. Moreover, under this identification*

$$g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau}),$$

$$\partial^k g_{ij} = O(|x|^{-\tau-k})$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. (M^n, g) is called ALE of order 0 if under the above identification, $g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = o(1)$ and $\partial^k g_{ij} = o(|x|^{-k})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

A classical work by Bando-Kasue-Nakajima [2] asserts that for Kahler Ricci-flat ALE metrics there exist coordinates of order n , and for Ricci-flat metrics, there exist coordinates of order $n-1$. Cheeger-Tian [8] improved the result to order n and generalized to other cone-like Ricci-flat metrics. For non Ricci-flat metrics, Tian-Viaclovsky [26] proved in dimension 4 that scalar flat (anti)-self-dual and harmonic metrics are of order $2-\epsilon$. Streets [24] proved that scalar flat Bach-flat metrics are of order $2-\epsilon$.

We study under what conditions, (M, g) is ALE of order $n-2$. This (optimal) order is the borderline case when the mass is finite but not necessary zero; see Bartnik [3]. The problem of getting the decay order $n-2$ is especially delicate, as we need to exclude the possibility of the decay $|x|^{-(n-2)} \ln |x|$; this $\ln |x|$ term does not occur in other orders.

*The author was supported by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science.

To be more precise, we denote by r the distance function from a fixed point o . In Theorem 1 below, by using the curvature equation $\Delta Rm = \nabla \delta Rm + Rm * Rm$, we show that the size of $(\delta Rm)_{jkl} = \nabla^i R_{ijkl}$ basically controls the decay of the metric. However, when $|\delta Rm|$ is asymptotic to $r^{-(n+1)}$, $|Rm|$ is asymptotic to $r^{-n} \ln r$. To eliminate the $\ln r$ term, we show that under a stronger condition $|\nabla Rc| = O(r^{-(n+1)})$ (this is stronger because $(\delta Rm)_{jkl} = \nabla_k R_{jl} - \nabla_l R_{jk}$), the metric has the decay rate $n - 2$.

We denote by C_s the Sobolev constant, the best constant such that $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M)} \leq C_s \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(M)}$ for $f \in C_c^{0,1}(M)$.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be ALE of order 0 with $C_s < \infty$. Suppose $|Rm| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$.*

- (a) *If $\nabla^k \delta Rm = O(r^{-(k+s+3)})$ with $s > \min\{0, \frac{n-6}{2}\}$, then (M, g) is ALE of order $\alpha = \min\{n-2, s\}$ when $s \neq n-2$, and (M, g) is ALE of order $\alpha < n-2$ when $s = n-2$.*
- (b) *If $\nabla^k Rc = O(r^{-(k+n)})$, then (M, g) is ALE of order $\alpha = n-2$.*

In the definition of ALE metrics, if we only require the decay in lower derivatives, then in Theorem 1 only smaller k 's are needed.

The next result concerns special metrics whose curvatures satisfy a coupled system,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta Rc = Rc * Rm \\ \Delta Rm = \nabla \delta Rm + Rm * Rm. \end{cases}$$

This allows us to estimate Rc first and then use Theorem 1 to obtain the decay rate.

Let K be a compact subset in M . A metric is *harmonic* if $\delta Rm = 0$. In dimension 4, a metric is *(anti-)self-dual* if $W^- = 0$ ($W^+ = 0$).

Theorem 2. *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with $R = 0$ in $M \setminus K$. Suppose $|Rm| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ and $C_s < \infty$.*

- (a) *If (M, g) is Kahler, then (M, g) is ALE of order $n-2$ with finitely many ends.*
- (b) *If (M, g) is harmonic, then (M, g) is ALE of order $n-2$ with finitely many ends.*
- (c) *If $n = 4$ and (M, g) is (anti-)self-dual, then (M, g) is ALE of order 2 with finitely many ends.*

In dimension 4, case (a) belongs to case (c); see [16].

Remark: After the current work has been completed, the author was notified that by a different geometry argument, case (c) in Theorem 2 was proved in a recent work by Chen-Lebrun-Weber [10]. Also, Ricci decay problem for extreme Kahler metrics was considered in [11].

We give the outline of proofs. To fix the notation, we denote by dV the volume element in M , and by $d\sigma$ the area element of a hypersurface in M . Let $B_r(x)$ and $S_r(x)$ be the geodesic ball of radius r and the geodesic sphere of radius r centered at x , respectively. When x is at o , we simply denote by B_r and S_r .

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is to compare the size of $\int_{M \setminus B_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV$ (as a function of r) and its derivative $-\int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma$. Then by ordinary differential inequality lemma (see Lemma 5), we obtain the decay of $|\nabla Rm|$ and hence the decay

of $|Rm|$; this is where $\ln r$ term might occur. Then by a classical result in [2], there exist coordinates of the desired order. To relate above two integrands, we apply Pohozaev's trick to the system $\Delta Rm = Rm * Rm + \nabla^2 Rc$ in the exterior domain to get $\int_{M \setminus B_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq \frac{2}{n-2} r \int_{S_r} (|\nabla_\nu Rm|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2) d\sigma + \text{lower order terms}$, where ν is the unit outer normal on S_r . Finally, we apply algebraic inequalities $\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rm|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rm|^2 + C_n |\delta Rm| |\nabla Rm| + C_n |\delta Rm|^2$ and $\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rm|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n+1} |\nabla Rm|^2 + C_n |\nabla Rc| |\nabla Rm| + C_n |\nabla Rc|^2$ to obtain the sharp comparison between $|\nabla_\nu Rm|^2$ and $|\nabla Rm|^2$ for cases (a) and (b), respectively. It turns out that the method of using Pohozaev's trick is flexible and can be applied to more general non-variational elliptic systems; see [9].

For Theorem 2, by a regularity result by Chen-Weber [11] and a work by Tian-Viaclovsky [25], the manifold is ALE of order zero. To improve the order, we apply Pohozaev's trick to the equation $\Delta Rc = Rm * Rc$ to get $\int_{M \setminus B_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 dV \leq \frac{2}{n-2} r \int_{S_r} (|\nabla_\nu Rc|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Rc|^2) d\sigma + \text{lower order terms}$. Then we apply an inequality $\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rc|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2$, which holds for scalar flat Kahler and (anti-) self-dual metrics, to obtain the sharp comparison between $|\nabla_\nu Rc|^2$ and $|\nabla Rc|^2$ and the right fall-off rate of $|Rc|$. Finally, applying Theorem 1 (b), we find coordinates of the desired order.

The inequality $\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rc|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2$ is stronger than $|\nabla |Rc||^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2$. The latter is called the Kato inequality. A general theory on the Kato inequality can be found in [5] and [7] by using the representation theory. We refer the reader to [21], [2], [19], [13] for related results in the literature.

Now we discuss explicit examples of metrics with the optimal order. The Schwarzschild metric $(1 + \frac{\mu}{r^{n-2}})^{\frac{4}{n-2}} dx^2$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is an example of scalar flat harmonic ALE manifolds of order $n - 2$. For scalar flat Kahler ALE manifolds, for $n = 4$, LeBrun [16] constructed examples of order 2. In higher dimensions, the problem was studied by Rollin-Singer [20] by using the momentum construction. Let $n = 2m$.

Proposition 1. [20] *There exist scalar-flat Kahler ALE manifolds on a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$ of order $n - 2$ with $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $p \geq 1$.*

We reconstruct the metrics for $n = 2m$ by generalizing the method by LeBrun [16] to higher dimensions. The advantage of this approach is that we are able to express the metrics explicitly. The metrics are of the form

$$ds^2 = (1 + \alpha \rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta \rho^{-2m})^{-1} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 (1 + \alpha \rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta \rho^{-2m}) h_0 + \rho^2 g_0.$$

Metrics of this form were studied by Simanca [23] and Pedersen-Poon [18]. Explicit examples of scalar flat ALE manifolds were used in the problem of desingularization; see e.g., Arezzo-Pacard [1].

A by-product of the analysis we used in proving Theorem 1 and 2 is the following removable point singularity result. We show that if the curvatures satisfy a coupled system in a punctured ball, then g is smooth across the origin. The result is a direct analytic consequence, and holds on more general orbifolds.

Definition 2. A Riemannian orbifold (M^n, g) is a smooth Riemannian manifold away from finite singular points x_i . At each point x_i , there is a neighborhood $B_r(x_i)$ such that $B_r(x_i) \setminus \{x_i\}$ is diffeomorphic to a cone on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \subset SO(n)$ is a finite group acting freely on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Moreover, if g is lifted to $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ via Γ , then g (under a Γ -equivariant diffeomorphism) extends to a smooth Riemannian metric on B_1 .

A C^0 orbifold (M^n, g) is a smooth Riemannian manifold away from finite singular points with above structure. At each singular point, the lift of g extends to a C^0 metric on B_1 .

Corollary 1. Let $n \geq 5$. Let (B_1, g) be a C^0 orbifold. Suppose $(B_1 \setminus \{0\}, g)$ is a smooth n -dimensional Riemannian manifold satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta Rc = Rc * Rm \\ \Delta Rm = \nabla \delta Rm + Rm * Rm \end{cases}$$

on $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ with $|Rm| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_1)$ and $C_s < \infty$. Then g extends to a smooth orbifold metric on B_1 .

Remark: Corollary 1 can be viewed as a Riemannian analogue of Sibner's [22] result for coupled Yang-Mills systems. The argument we used here was applied to extreme Kahler metrics by Chen-Weber [11].

Manifolds satisfying the system in Corollary 1 include constant scalar curvature Kahler metrics and harmonic metrics.

At the end of the introduction, we state the geometrical Pohozaev's identity for an independent interest. Let Γ be the Christoffel symbol.

Proposition 2. Let Ω be a domain in M and T be a tensor. Suppose the coordinate vector $X = X^i \nabla_i$ is defined in Ω . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle dV &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla T|^2 + \nabla T * \nabla T * \Gamma * X + \nabla T * T * Rm * X \right) dV \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nu \rangle |\nabla T|^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit outward normal on $\partial\Omega$.

The Pohozaev's identity was used in the literature for the Yamabe equation [15].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 1, we collect some standard regularity results and review background materials. In Section 2, we construct scalar-flat Kahler metrics. We prove algebraic inequalities in Section 3, and Theorem 1 and 2 in Sections 4. Finally, we prove Corollary 1 in Section 5

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank Alice Chang and Paul Yang for stimulating discussions which initiated the present work. She appreciates Jeff Viaclovsky for helpful discussions and especially for explaining his work to her. The author is grateful to Rick Schoen for helpful suggestions, which result in Theorem 1. The author thanks Claude LeBrun, Frank Pacard and Jian Song for useful discussions, and Gang Tian for interests. Finally, the author thanks Claude LeBrun for pointing out the article [10] to her and Peter Petersen for providing a reference.

1 Preliminaries

We collect some standard results in elliptic regularity theory and ordinary differential equations. Then we review Kahler, harmonic and (anti-)self-dual metrics.

Let D_r be the complement of the geodesic ball of radius r .

Lemma 1. [2] Let $p > 1$. Suppose $u, f \geq 0$ and $u \in L^p(D_r)$ satisfies $\Delta u \geq -fu$ in D_r .

(a) Suppose $f \in L^q(D_r)$ satisfies $\int_{D_r} f^q dV \leq C_0 r^{-(2q-n)}$ for some $q > \frac{n}{2}$. Then $\sup_{D_{2r}} u \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|u\|_{L^p(D_r)}$, where $C = C(n, p, C_0, C_s)$

(b) Assume the conditions in (a) and in addition that $f \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)$ and $\text{Vol} B_r(x) \leq Cr^n$. Then $u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < n - 2$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Next lemma is an analogue of Lemma 1 for inhomogeneous equations. The proof follows by an argument similar to [2], section 4. Let $\gamma = \frac{n}{n-2}$.

Lemma 2. Let $u, f, h \geq 0$. Suppose u satisfies $\Delta u \geq -fu - h$ in D_r and $\text{Vol} B_r(x) \leq C_1 r^n$.

(a) Suppose $f \in L^q(D_r)$ satisfies $\int_{D_r} f^q dV \leq C_0 r^{-(2q-n)}$ for some $q > \frac{n}{2}$. Assume that $u \in L^p(D_r)$ for some $p > 1$ and $h \in L^q(D_r)$. Then $\sup_{D_{2r}} u \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{p}} \|u\|_{L^p(D_r)} + Cr^{\frac{2q-n}{q}} \|h\|_{L^q(D_r)}$, where $C = C(n, p, C_0, C_1, C_s)$.

(b) Let $q_1 = \frac{p\gamma}{p\gamma-p+1} = \frac{pn}{2p+n-2}$ and $p > 1$. Suppose $h \in L^{q_1}(D_r)$ satisfies $\|h\|_{L^{q_1}(D_r)} < Cr^{-\delta_1}$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$. Assume $u \in L^{p\gamma}(D_r)$ and $f \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)$. Then there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} < \epsilon_1$, then $\int_{D_r} u^{p\gamma} dV = O(r^{-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, under the same assumptions as above but $u \in L^p(D_r)$ for $p > 1$, it holds

$$\left(\int_{D_{2r}} u^{p\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq Cr^{-2} \int_{D_r} u^p dV + C \left(\int_{D_r} h^{q_1} dV \right)^{\frac{p}{q_1}}.$$

(c) Assume the conditions in (a) and in addition that $f \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)$ and $\|h\|_{L^q(D_r)} \leq Cr^{-(s+4-\frac{n}{q})}$. Then $u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < \min\{n - 2, s + 2\}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. (a) is by standard elliptic regularity; see [17]

(b) Let $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ be a cutoff function such that $\phi = 0$ on $B_r \cup D_{2r'}$ and $\phi = 1$ on $B_{r'} \setminus B_{2r}$ with $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr'^{-1}$ on $B_{2r'} \setminus B_{r'}$ and $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr^{-1}$ on $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$. r' will be chosen large. Applying $\phi^2 u^{p-1}$ to the equation and following the computations in [2] p332, we get $(\int |\phi u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2\gamma} dV)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \int (f \phi^2 u^p + \phi^2 u^{p-1} h + |\nabla \phi|^2 u^p) dV$. Since $\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}}$ is small, we can absorb the term $\int f \phi^2 u^p dV$ to the left and get

$$\left(\int |\phi u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2\gamma} dV \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq \left(\int \phi^{2q'} u^{p\gamma} dV \right)^{1/q'} \left(\int_{\text{supp} \phi} h^{q_1} dV \right)^{1/q_1} + C \int |\nabla \phi|^2 u^p dV,$$

where $q' = \frac{p\gamma}{p-1}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Note that $\frac{q'}{\gamma} = \frac{p}{p-1}$. We use Yang's inequality $ab \leq \frac{(a/\epsilon)^p}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p}(\epsilon b)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ to lift the power $\frac{1}{q'}$ to $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ and absorb the term to the left to obtain

$$\left(\int |\phi u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2\gamma} dV \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp} \phi} h^{q_1} dV \right)^{p/q_1} + C \int |\nabla \phi|^2 u^p dV. \quad (1)$$

Using Holder inequality for the second term, we get

$$\left(\int |\phi u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp}\phi} h^{q_1} dV \right)^{\frac{2}{q_1}} + C \left(\int |\nabla \phi|^n dV \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\text{supp}|\nabla \phi|} u^{p\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \quad (2)$$

Note that $\int_{D_{r'} \setminus D_{2r'}} u^{p\gamma} dV \rightarrow 0$ because $u \in L^{p\gamma}$. Letting $r' \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\int_{D_{2r}} u^{p\gamma} dV \leq Cr^{-\delta_1 p\gamma} + C \int_{D_r \setminus D_{2r}} u^{p\gamma} dV$. Therefore, $\int_{D_r} u^{p\gamma} dV = O(r^{-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

For the second claim, we go back to (1). Letting $r' \rightarrow \infty$ gives the inequality.

(c) Let $\bar{\alpha} = \sup\{\alpha : u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})\}$. Suppose $\bar{\alpha} < \min\{n-2, s+2\}$. Let $\tilde{p} (= p\gamma) > \frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}} > \gamma$ be close to $\frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Then $u \in L^{\tilde{p}}$. We have $q_1 = \frac{p\gamma}{p\gamma-p+1} < \frac{n}{2} < q$. Therefore, $\|h\|_{L^{q_1}(D_r)} \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{q} + \frac{n}{q_1}} \|h\|_{L^q(D_r)} \leq Cr^{-(s+4) + \frac{n}{q_1}}$. Since $\frac{n}{q_1}$ is close to $\frac{n(\gamma-1)+\gamma\bar{\alpha}}{\gamma} = 2 + \bar{\alpha} < s+4$, by (b) we get $\int_{D_r} u^{\tilde{p}} = O(r^{-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. By (a), it follows $\sup_{D_{2r}} u \leq Cr^{-n/\tilde{p}} \|u\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-(s+2)} = O(r^{-\frac{n+\epsilon}{\tilde{p}} + r^{-(s+2)}})$. This gives a contradiction as $\frac{n+\epsilon}{\tilde{p}}, s+2 > \bar{\alpha}$. $\square$

We turn to consider the punctured ball $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and study the blow-up rate of solutions near the origin. Let $A_r = \{x : \frac{r}{2} < |x| < \frac{3r}{2}\}$ be an annulus.

Lemma 3. *Let $n \geq 5$. Suppose that $u, f \geq 0$ are in $L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_1)$ and u satisfies $\Delta u \geq -fu$ in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Assume $\text{Vol} B_r(x) \leq Cr^n$ and in addition $\int_{A_r} f^q dV \leq Cr^{-(2q-n)}$ for some $q > \frac{n}{2}$. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $(\int_{B_{r_0}} f^{\frac{n}{2}} dV)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \epsilon_0$, then $\int_{B_r} u^{\frac{n}{2}} dV = O(r^\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.*

Moreover, $u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha > 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We again follow the computations in [2] p332. Let $\bar{q} = p\gamma > \gamma$. Suppose $u \in L^{\bar{q}}(B_1)$. Let $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ be a cutoff function such that $\phi = 0$ on $B_{r'} \cup (B_1 \setminus B_{2r})$ and $\phi = 1$ on $B_r \setminus B_{2r'}$ with $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr'^{-1}$ on $B_{2r'} \setminus B_{r'}$ and $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr^{-1}$ on $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$. r' is chosen to be small. Then by $(\int_{B_{r_0}} f^{n/2} dV)^{2/n} \leq \epsilon_0$, we have (by (2))

$$\left(\int |\phi u^{\frac{p}{2}}|^{2\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \left(\int |\nabla \phi|^n dV \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\text{supp}|\nabla \phi|} u^{p\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp}|\nabla \phi|} u^{p\gamma} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Letting $r' \rightarrow 0$ (and noting $u \in L^{\bar{q}}$), we get $\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV \leq C \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV$. Therefore, $\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV = O(r^\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Now let $\bar{q} = n/2$. We obtain the first part of the lemma.

By standard regularity, $\sup_{|x|=r} u(x) \leq Cr^{-n/p} (\int_{A_r} u^p dV)^{1/p}$ if $u \in L^p(B_1)$. Since $u \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_1)$, we have $u = O(|x|^{-2})$ near the origin. Let $\bar{\alpha} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})\}$. If $\bar{\alpha} > 0$, then $\gamma = \frac{n}{n-2} < \frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Let $\gamma < \bar{q} < \frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$ be close to $\frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Then $u \in L^{\bar{q}}(B_1)$. By the computation in the previous paragraph, we get $\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV = O(r^\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, $\sup_{|x|=r} u(x) \leq Cr^{-n/\bar{q}} (\int_{A_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV)^{1/\bar{q}} = O(r^{-\frac{n}{\bar{q}} + \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{q}}})$. Since $\frac{n}{\bar{q}} - \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{q}} < \bar{\alpha}$, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let $n \geq 5$. Suppose $h \geq 0$ satisfies $\|h\|_{L^q(A_r)} \leq Cr^{-2-\delta+\frac{n}{q}}$ for some $q > \frac{n}{2}$ and for all $\delta > 0$. Suppose $u, f \geq 0$ are in $L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_1)$ and u satisfies $\Delta u \geq -fu - h$ in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Assume $\text{Vol} B_r(x) \leq Cr^n$ and in addition $\int_{A_r} f^q dV \leq Cr^{-(2q-n)}$. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $(\int_{B_{r_0}} f^{\frac{n}{2}} dV)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \epsilon_0$, then $u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha > 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 2. Let $\bar{q} = p\gamma > \gamma$. Suppose $u \in L^{\bar{q}}(B_1)$. Let $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ be a cutoff function as in Lemma 3. By $(\int_{B_{r_0}} f^{n/2} dV)^{2/n} \leq \epsilon_0$, the formula (2) holds. Letting $r' \rightarrow 0$ in (2) and noting that $u \in L^{\bar{q}}$, we get

$$\left(\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV \right)^{1/\gamma} \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2r}} h^{q_1} dV \right)^{p/q_1} + C \left(\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV \right)^{1/\gamma}. \quad (3)$$

By standard regularity, $\sup_{|x|=r} u(x) \leq Cr^{-n/p} (\int_{A_r} u^p dV)^{1/p} + Cr^{\frac{2q-n}{q}} \|h\|_{L^q(A_r)}$ if $u \in L^p(B_1)$. Since $u \in L^{n/2}(B_1)$, we get $u = O(|x|^{-2})$ near the origin. Let $\bar{\alpha} = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : u = O(|x|^{-\alpha})\}$. If $\bar{\alpha} > 0$, then $\gamma < \frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Let $\gamma < \bar{q} < \frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$ be close to $\frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$. Then $u \in L^{\bar{q}}(B_1)$. Since $q_1 = \frac{p\gamma}{p\gamma-p+1} < n/2 < q$, we have $\|h\|_{L^{q_1}(A_r)} \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{q} + \frac{n}{q_1}} \|h\|_{L^q(A_r)} \leq Cr^{-2-\delta+\frac{n}{q_1}}$. Since $\bar{q}$ is close to $\frac{n}{\bar{\alpha}}$, then $\frac{n}{q_1}$ is close to $2+\bar{\alpha}$. We obtain $-2-\delta+\frac{n}{q_1} > 0$. As a result, $\|h\|_{L^{q_1}(A_r)} \leq Cr^{\epsilon_1}$ for some $\epsilon_1 > 0$. By (3), $\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV \leq Cr^{\epsilon_1 \bar{q}} + C \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV$. Therefore, $\int_{B_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV = O(r^{\epsilon_2})$ for some $\epsilon_2 > 0$. Hence, $\sup_{|x|=r} u(x) \leq Cr^{-n/\bar{q}} (\int_{A_r} u^{\bar{q}} dV)^{1/\bar{q}} + Cr^{-\delta} = O(r^{-\frac{n}{\bar{q}} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\bar{q}}} + r^{-\delta})$. Since $\frac{n}{\bar{q}} - \frac{\epsilon_2}{\bar{q}}, \delta < \bar{\alpha}$, we get a contradiction. $\square$

Now we apply Lemma 2 to the curvature equation derived by Lichnerowicz in 1950:

$$\Delta Rm = \nabla \delta Rm + Rm * Rm. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 3. *Let $n \geq 4$. Suppose $\nabla^k \delta Rm = O(r^{-(k+s+3)})$ and $\text{Vol} B_r(x) \leq C_1 r^n$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|Rm\|_{L^{n/2}(D_r)} < \epsilon$,*

$$\sup_{D_{2r}} |\nabla^k Rm| \leq Cr^{-(k+2)} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-(k+s+2)},$$

where $C = C(n, k, C_1, C_s)$.

Proof. By (4), $\Delta |Rm| \geq -C |Rm| |Rm| - C |\nabla \delta Rm|$. By Lemma 2, the case $k = 0$ holds. Inductively we have

$$\Delta \nabla^i Rm = Rm * \nabla^i Rm + (\nabla^{i+1} \delta Rm + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \nabla^l Rm * \nabla^{i-l} Rm), \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta |\nabla^i Rm| \geq -C |Rm| |\nabla^i Rm| - C (|\nabla^{i+1} \delta Rm| + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} |\nabla^l Rm| |\nabla^{i-l} Rm|). \quad (6)$$

Assume for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have pointwise bounds. Let ϕ be a cutoff function such that $\phi = 1$ on $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$ and $\phi = 0$ on $B_{r/2} \cup D_{5r/2}$ with $|\nabla \phi| \leq Cr^{-1}$. Multiplying (5) with $i = k-1$ by $\phi^2 \nabla^{k-1} Rm$, we get (after applying Schwarz inequality for the cross term)

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\phi \nabla^k Rm|^2 &\leq \int \phi^2 |Rm| |\nabla^{k-1} Rm|^2 + \int |\nabla \phi|^2 |\nabla^{k-1} Rm|^2 \\ &\quad + \int \phi^2 |\nabla^{k-1} Rm| (|\nabla^k \delta Rm| + \sum_{l=1}^{k-2} |\nabla^l Rm| |\nabla^{k-1-l} Rm|). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis and the assumption on $\nabla^{k+1}\delta Rm$, we have

$$\int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |\nabla^k Rm|^2 \leq Cr^{-2(k+2)+n} \left(\int_{D_r} |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{4}{n}} + Cr^{-2(k+s+2)+n}.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2 (a) to the equation (6) with $i = k$ and $p = 2$ (which is also true if we consider the domain $B_{2r} \setminus B_r$ instead of D_r), we get

$$\sup_{|x|=\frac{3r}{2}} |\nabla^k Rm| \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla^k Rm\|_{L^2(B_{2r} \setminus B_r)} + Cr^{-(k+s+2)} \leq Cr^{-(k+2)} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-(k+s+2)}.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \sup_{D_{2r}} |\nabla^k Rm| \leq Cr^{-(k+2)} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-(k+s+2)}. \quad \square$$

The following ordinary differential inequality lemma will play an important role in proving Theorem 1 and 2.

Lemma 5. *Suppose $f(r) \geq 0$ satisfies $f(r) \leq -\frac{r}{a}f'(r) + C_0r^{-b}$ for some $a, b > 0$.*

(a) $a \neq b$. Then there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $f(r) \leq C_1r^{-a} + \frac{aC_0}{a-b}r^{-b}$. Therefore,

$$f(r) = O(r^{-\min\{a,b\}}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) $a = b$. Then there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $f(r) \leq C_1r^{-a} + aC_0r^{-a} \ln r$. Therefore,

$$f(r) = O(r^{-a} \ln r) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. By the equation, we have $(f(r)r^a)' = r^a f'(r) + ar^{a-1}f(r) \leq aC_0r^{-b-1+a}$. Therefore, $f(r)r^a \leq C_1 + aC_0 \int s^{-b-1+a} ds$. If $a \neq b$, we obtain $f(r)r^a \leq C_1 + \frac{aC_0}{a-b}r^{-b+a}$. If $a = b$, then we get $f(r)r^a \leq C_1 + aC_0 \ln r$. Multiplying by r^{-a} gives the result. $\square$

We review some basic facts about Kahler, harmonic and (anti-)self-dual metrics.

Let (M, g) be a Kahler manifold with coordinates $Z^1, \dots, Z^m$ and an associated complex structure J . Then $\partial_{Z_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_i - \sqrt{-1}e_{Ji})$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{J1}, \dots, e_{Jm}\}$ is a basis in real coordinates. We give the relation of curvatures between real and complex coordinates; see [14]. For $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, we have that $R_{ij} = R_{JiJj}$ is symmetric and $R_{iJj} = -R_{Jji}$ is skew-symmetric (but note that $R_{iJj} = R_{Jji}$). Therefore, $R = 2trR_{ij}$. The Ricci curvatures in complex coordinates are $R_{Z_i\bar{Z}_j} = R_{ij} + \sqrt{-1}R_{iJj}$, which satisfy the Bianchi relation $R_{Z_i\bar{Z}_j, Z_k} = R_{Z_k\bar{Z}_j, Z_i}$. Hence,

$$R_{Z_i\bar{Z}_j, Z_k\bar{Z}_k} = R_{Z_k\bar{Z}_j, Z_i\bar{Z}_k} = R_{Z_k\bar{Z}_k, \bar{Z}_jZ_i} + Rc(Z, \bar{Z}) * R(Z, \bar{Z}, Z, \bar{Z}).$$

If R is constant, then $R_{Z_k\bar{Z}_k, \bar{Z}_jZ_i} = \frac{1}{2}R_{\bar{Z}_jZ_i} = 0$. In real coordinates, we get $\Delta Rc = Rc * Rm$. (To be more precise, ΔR_{ij} comes from the real part and ΔR_{iJj} comes from the imaginary part.) Moreover,

$$R_{Z_i\bar{Z}_j, Z_k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(R_{ij,k} + R_{iJj, Jk} + \sqrt{-1}R_{iJj,k} - \sqrt{-1}R_{ij, Jk}). \quad (7)$$

Let (M, g) be harmonic, i.e. $R_{ijkl,i} = 0$. By Bianchi identity this gives $R_{jl,k} = R_{jk,l}$ and R is constant. Therefore, $R_{ij,kk} = R_{ik,jk} = R_{kk,ij} + Rc * Rm = Rc * Rm$. Hence, we have $\Delta Rc = Rc * Rm$. Examples of harmonic metrics include Einstein, constant scalar curvature locally conformally flat and parallel Ricci curvature (i.e., $\nabla Rc = 0$) metrics.

We turn to (anti-)self-dual metrics. In dimension 4, two forms can be decomposed into $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$, where $\Lambda_+^2(\Lambda_-^2)$ is the eigenspace of eigenvalue $+1(-1)$ of the Hodge operator. Correspondingly, W is decomposed into W^+ and W^- called self-dual and anti-self-dual part. If $W^- = 0$ ($W^+ = 0$), we call it a self-dual (anti-self-dual) metric. It can be shown [4] that if $W^- = 0$ (or $W^+ = 0$), then $B_{ij} := W_{ikjl, lk} + \frac{1}{2}R_{kl}W_{ikjl} = \Delta R_{ij} + \nabla^2 R + Rc * Rm = 0$. Hence, if R is constant, we have $\Delta Rc = Rc * Rm$.

Since every metric satisfies (4), the above examples satisfy the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta Rc = Rc * Rm \\ \Delta Rm = \nabla \delta Rm + Rm * Rm = \nabla^2 Rc + Rm * Rm. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The ϵ -regularity result for (8) was proved by Chen-Weber [11].

Theorem 3. [11] *Let $n \geq 4$. Suppose (M, g) satisfies (8). Let $q > n/2$ and k be a nonnegative integer. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\int_{B_r} |Rm|^{n/2} < \epsilon$, we have*

$$\left(\int_{B_{r/2}} |\nabla^k Rc|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_1 r^{-k-2+\frac{n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_r} |Rc|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\left(\int_{B_{r/2}} |\nabla^k Rm|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_1 r^{-k-2+\frac{n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_r} |Rm|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\sup_{B_{r/2}} |\nabla^k Rm| \leq C_2 r^{-k-2} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_r)}, \quad (11)$$

where $C_1 = C_1(C_s, k, q, n)$ and $C_2 = C_2(C_s, k, n)$.

2 Scalar flat Kahler ALE manifolds

We construct one parameter family of scalar flat Kahler metrics on the blow up of $\mathbb{C}^m$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}_p$, which is a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$. The construction bases on an idea by LeBrun [16] for $n = 4$. Let $n = 2m$.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $\phi(u)$ be a Kahler potential, where $u = \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i|^2$. Thus, $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}g_{i\bar{j}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{j}}$ and $g_{i\bar{j}} = \phi'(u)\delta_{ij} + \phi''(u)z_{\bar{i}}z_j$. Let $\psi = \ln \det g_{i\bar{j}}$. We have $\psi = \ln(\phi'(u)^{m-1}(\phi'(u) + \phi''(u)u))$. Then the scalar-flat equation becomes

$$0 = g^{i\bar{j}}\psi_{i\bar{j}} = g^{i\bar{j}}(\psi'(u)\delta_{ij} + \psi''(u)z_{\bar{i}}z_j) = \phi'(u)^{m-1}(m\psi'(u) + \frac{\phi'(u)\psi''(u) - \phi''(u)\psi'(u)}{\phi'(u) + \phi''(u)u}u).$$

In other words, we plan to solve

$$m\phi'(u)\psi'(u) + (m-1)\phi''(u)\psi'(u)u + \phi'(u)\psi''(u)u = 0 \quad (12)$$

together with $\psi = \ln(\phi'(u)^{m-1}(\phi'(u) + \phi''(u)u))$.

We observe that

$$[\phi'(u)^{m-1}u^m\psi'(u)]' = u^{m-1}\phi'(u)^{m-2}[m\phi'(u)\psi'(u) + (m-1)\phi''(u)\psi'(u)u + \phi'(u)\psi''(u)u].$$

Hence, (12) is equivalent to

$$\phi'(u)^{m-1}\psi'(u)u^m = \alpha$$

with $\psi = \ln(\phi'(u)^{m-1}(\phi'(u) + \phi''(u)u))$, where α is a constant. Let $y(u) = u\phi'(u)$. Then $\psi = \ln \frac{y^{m-1}y'}{u^{m-1}}$ and $\psi' = \frac{m-1}{y}y' + y''(y')^{-1} - \frac{m-1}{u}$. The equation becomes

$$\alpha y' = (m-1)uy^{m-2}(y')^2 + y^{m-1}uy'' - (m-1)y^{m-1}y' = (uy^{m-1}y' - y^m)'.$$

Thus,

$$y^m + \alpha y + \beta = uy^{m-1}y'. \quad (13)$$

Formally, we get

$$\ln u = \int \frac{y^{m-1}dy}{y^m + \alpha y + \beta}. \quad (14)$$

To express the metric, note that the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}$ is decomposed into g_0 , the standard metric on $\mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$, and h_0 , the metric along the fiber (the Hopf map). Hence, $ds^2 = y'[(d\sqrt{u})^2 + uh] + yg_0$. Let $y = \rho^2$. By (13), we have $y'(d\sqrt{u})^2 = y'(4u)^{-1}du^2 = \frac{y}{uy'}d\rho^2 = (1 + \alpha\rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta\rho^{-2m})d\rho^2$ and $y'u = \rho^2(1 + \alpha\rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta\rho^{-2m})$. Thus,

$$ds^2 = (1 + \alpha\rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta\rho^{-2m})^{-1}d\rho^2 + \rho^2(1 + \alpha\rho^{-2(m-1)} + \beta\rho^{-2m})h_0 + \rho^2g_0. \quad (15)$$

We exhibit some special solutions which give complete metrics. Let $\alpha = (p-m)a^{2(m-1)}$ and $\beta = (m-1-p)a^{2m}$. The metric in (15) is defined for $\rho^2 \geq a^2$. When $m = 2$, they coincide with the metrics in [16]. We have

$$y^m + \alpha y + \beta = (y - a^2)(y^{m-1} + a^2y^{m-2} + \dots + a^{2(m-2)}y + (p+1-m)a^{2(m-1)}).$$

Therefore, $\frac{y^{m-1}}{y^m + \alpha y + \beta} > 0$ and (14) is invertible for $y \in (a^2, \infty)$ (and $u \in (0, \infty)$), which gives implicitly $\phi'(u) = u^{-1}y(u)$ and $\phi(u)$. We show that the metric is complete on the blow up of $\mathbb{C}^m$ module $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Denote $h_0 = d\theta^2$. Introducing the coordinates $\hat{r}^2 = \rho^2 - a^2$ and $\hat{\theta} = p\theta$. We get $d\rho^2 = \frac{\hat{r}^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2}d\hat{r}^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2|_{\text{fiber}} &= (1 - (\frac{a}{\rho})^2)^{-1}(1 + (\frac{a}{\rho})^2 + \dots + (\frac{a}{\rho})^{2(m-2)} + (p+1-m)(\frac{a}{\rho})^{2(m-1)})^{-1}d\rho^2 \\ &\quad + \rho^2(1 - (\frac{a}{\rho})^2)(1 + (\frac{a}{\rho})^2 + \dots + (\frac{a}{\rho})^{2(m-2)} + (p+1-m)(\frac{a}{\rho})^{2(m-1)})d\theta^2 \\ &= (1 + \frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2} + \dots + (\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-2)} + (p+1-m)(\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-1)})^{-1}d\hat{r}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{r}^2}{p^2}(1 + \frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2} + \dots + (\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-2)} + (p+1-m)(\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-1)})d\hat{\theta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

When $\hat{r} \rightarrow 0$, $(1 + \frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2} + \dots + (\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-2)} + (p+1-m)(\frac{a^2}{\hat{r}^2 + a^2})^{(m-1)}) \rightarrow p$. Therefore, $ds^2|_{\text{fiber}} \rightarrow p^{-1}(d\hat{r}^2 + \hat{r}^2 d\hat{\theta}^2)$. The metric restricted to the fiber is smooth across the origin. Thus, the metric is complete.

This process is compatible with the complex structure. We identify the complex plane with the quotient of a fiber through $\zeta \rightarrow (\frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\sum c_i^2}}, \dots, \frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\sum c_i^2}})\zeta^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Since $\frac{y^{m-1}}{y^m + \alpha y + \beta} = \frac{1}{p}(y - a^2)^{-1} + l.o.t.$, by (14), $u = C(y - a^2)^{\frac{1}{p}} + l.o.t. = \hat{r}^{\frac{2}{p}} + l.o.t.$. Hence, $|\zeta| = u^{\frac{p}{2}} = \hat{r} + l.o.t.$. Since the Kahler condition is a closed condition, the metric is a complete Kahler metric.

In special cases when $p = m - 1$ or m , $\phi(u)$ can be explicitly written down.

$p = m$. The formula (13) becomes $y^m - a^{2m} = y^{m-1}uy'$. Therefore, $u = (y^m - a^{2m})^{\frac{1}{m}}$ and hence $y = (a^{2m} + u^m)^{\frac{1}{m}}$. We have $\phi'(u) = (1 + a^{2m}u^{-m})^{\frac{1}{m}}$. The Kahler potential ϕ is the Calabi's solution [6]: $\phi_{c,m}(u) = a^2(a^{-2m}u^m + 1)^{\frac{1}{m}} + \frac{a^2}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \ln((a^{-2m}u^m + 1)^{\frac{1}{m}} - \eta^j)\eta^j$, where $\eta = e^{2\pi i/m}$ is the m -th unit root.

$p = m - 1$. The formula (13) becomes $y^{m-1} - a^{2(m-1)}y = y^{m-2}uy'$. Therefore, $u = (y^{m-1} - a^{2(m-1)})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$ and hence $y = (a^{2(m-1)} + u^{m-1})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$. We have $\phi'(u) = (1 + (a^2u^{-1})^{m-1})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$. The Kahler potential is $\phi_{c,m-1}(u)$, the Calabi's solution in dimension $m - 1$: $\phi(u) = \phi_{c,m-1} = a^2(a^{-2(m-1)}u^{(m-1)} + 1)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} + \frac{a^2}{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \ln((a^{-2(m-1)}u^{(m-1)} + 1)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} - \eta^j)\eta^j$, where $\eta = e^{2\pi i/(m-1)}$ is the $(m - 1)$ -th unit root. $\square$

Finally, we remark on the volume expansion of the metrics constructed. When $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} Vol(\rho \leq r) &= \int (1 + (m - \frac{3}{2})\alpha\rho^{-2(m-1)})\rho^{2m-1}d\rho d\Omega_{\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}} + l.o.t. \\ &= |\mathbb{S}^{2m-1}|(\frac{1}{2m}\rho^{2m} + \frac{1}{2}(m - \frac{3}{2})\alpha\rho^2 + l.o.t.), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \geq 2$. The coefficient in front of ρ^2 changes sign (depending on p). This is related to the fact that the mass for scalar flat ALE manifolds is not nonnegative [16].

3 Algebraic inequalities for curvature tensors

We derive two general algebraic inequalities for Riemannian curvature tensors. Then we prove special inequalities for Ricci curvatures of constant scalar curvature Kahler and (anti-)self-dual metrics.

In this section, the letters $\{i, j, k, l\}$ are indices from 1 to n and $\{a, b, c, d\}$ are from 2 to n unless otherwise noted.

Lemma 6. *Let $n \geq 3$. There exists $C = C(n)$ such that for any metric,*

$$\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rm|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rm|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1 = v, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis at the point. The curvatures $R_{ijkl,1}$ consists of three different kinds: $I = \{R_{1a1b,1}, R_{1ab1,1}, \dots\}$, $II = \{R_{1abc,1}, R_{a1bc,1}, \dots\}$ and $III = \{R_{abcd,1}, \dots\}$, where R_{ijkl} has 2, 1 and 0 indices of 1 respectively. Note that

$$|\nabla_1 Rm|^2 = \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1abc,1}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{abcd,1}|^2 + \sum_{a,b} 4|R_{1a1b,1}|^2.$$

For II , by $R_{1abc,1} + R_{1a1b,c} + R_{1ac1,b} = 0$ and $R_{1abc,1} + \sum_d R_{dabc,d} = \delta Rm$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq 8 \sum_{a,b,c} (|R_{1a1b,c}|^2 + |R_{1ab1,c}|^2) = 4 \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1a1b,c}|^2, \\ \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq 4 \sum_{a,b,c} \left| \sum_d R_{dabc,d} \right|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2 \\ &\leq 4(n-2) \sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{dabc,d}|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq \frac{3n-8}{4n-8} 4 \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \frac{n}{4n-8} 4(n-2) \sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{dabc,d}|^2 \\ &\quad + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} \left(\sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d} 2|R_{dabc,d}|^2 \right) + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $\frac{3n-8}{n-2} \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Since $\sum_{a,b,c,d} 2|R_{dabc,d}|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b,c,d,e} |R_{abcd,e}|^2$, we get

$$\sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1abc,1}|^2 \leq \frac{n}{2} \left(\sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d,e} |R_{abcd,e}|^2 \right) + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2.$$

For I and III , by $R_{abcd,1} + R_{ab1c,d} + R_{abd1,c} = 0$ and $R_{1a1b,1} + \sum_c R_{ca1b,c} = \delta Rm$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{abcd,1}|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{a,b,c,d} (|R_{ab1c,d}|^2 + |R_{abd1,c}|^2) = \sum_{a,b,c,d} 4|R_{1abc,d}|^2, \\ \sum_{a,b} 4|R_{1a1b,1}|^2 &\leq 4 \sum_{a,b} \left| \sum_c R_{ca1b,c} \right|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2 \\ &\leq 4(n-2) \sum_{a,b,c} |R_{ca1b,c}|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{a,b,c} |R_{ca1b,c}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{1abc,d}|^2$, we get

$$\sum_{a,b,c,d} |R_{abcd,1}|^2 + \sum_{a,b} 4|R_{1a1b,1}|^2 \leq \frac{n}{2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} 4|R_{1abc,d}|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2.$$

Combing inequalities of I, II and III and noting that $\sum_a |\nabla_a Rm|^2 = \sum_{a,b,c} 4|R_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d,e} |R_{abcd,e}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d} 4|R_{1abc,d}|^2$, we obtain $|\nabla_1 Rm|^2 \leq \frac{n}{2} \sum_a |\nabla_a Rm|^2 + C|\delta Rm||\nabla Rm| + C|\delta Rm|^2$. Adding $\frac{n}{2} |\nabla_1 Rm|^2$ on both sides gives the inequality. $\square$

Lemma 7. *Let $n \geq 4$. There exists $C = C(n)$ such that for any metric,*

$$\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v W|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n+1} |\nabla W|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2,$$

and therefore,

$$\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rm|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n+1} |\nabla Rm|^2 + C|\nabla Rc||\nabla Rm| + C|\nabla Rc|^2.$$

Proof. We recall some basic facts about curvatures. Let $A = \frac{1}{n-2}(Rc - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}g)$. Then $R_{ijkl} = W_{ijkl} + A_{ik}g_{jl} + A_{jl}g_{ik} - A_{il}g_{jk} - A_{jk}g_{il}$. In a short hand, we write $Rm = W + A \odot g$. It is known that $|Rm|^2 = |W|^2 + |A \odot g|^2$. Moreover, $(\delta W)_{jkl} = \nabla^i W_{ijkl} = (n-3)(A_{jl,k} - A_{jk,l})$. Using the second Bianchi, we have

$$0 = W_{ijkl,m} + W_{ijmk,l} + W_{ijlm,k} + dA \otimes g = W_{ijkl,m} + W_{ijmk,l} + W_{ijlm,k} + \delta W \otimes g,$$

where $(dA)_{jkl} = A_{jl,k} - A_{jk,l}$ and $dA \otimes g$ represents tensor products of dA and g .

Let $\{e_1 = v, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis at the point. $W_{ijkl,1}$ consists of three kinds: $I = \{W_{1a1b,1}, W_{1ab1,1}, \dots\}$, $II = \{W_{1abc,1}, W_{a1bc,1}, \dots\}$ and $III = \{W_{abcd,1}, \dots\}$, where W_{ijkl} has 2, 1 and 0 indices of 1 respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume $W_{1a1b,1}$ is diagonal. Note that $|\nabla_1 W|^2 = \sum_{a,b,c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d} |W_{abcd,1}|^2 + \sum_{a,b} 4|W_{1a1b,1}|^2$.

In what follows, the summation over $\{a \neq b \neq c\}$ represents the summation over distinct a, b, c and the summation over $\{a, b, c\}$ represents the summation over all triples $\{a, b, c\}$ (without the order).

For II, note that $\sum_{a,b,c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 = \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 + \sum_{a \neq b} 8|W_{1aba,1}|^2$. Since $W_{1abc,1} + W_{1a1b,c} + W_{1ac1,b} = \delta W \otimes g$ and $W_{1abc,1} + \sum_d W_{dabc,d} = \delta W$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c} - W_{1a1c,b}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\{a,b,c\}} 8(|W_{1a1b,c} - W_{1a1c,b}|^2 + |W_{1b1a,c} - W_{1b1c,a}|^2 + |W_{1c1a,b} - W_{1c1b,a}|^2) \\ &\quad + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq 24 \sum_{\{a,b,c\}} (|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + |W_{1a1c,b}|^2 + |W_{1b1c,a}|^2) + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\ &= 3 \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $n \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4 \left| \sum_d W_{dabc,d} \right|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\ &\leq 2(n-2) \sum_{a \neq b \neq c, d} 2|W_{dabc,d}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq \frac{3n-7}{4n-8} 3 \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \frac{n-1}{4n-8} 2(n-2) \sum_{a \neq b \neq c,d} 2|W_{dabc,d}|^2 \\
&\quad + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a \neq b \neq c,d} 2|W_{dabc,d}|^2 \right) + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we use $\frac{3n-7}{4n-8} \cdot 3 \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ for $n \geq 5$. For $n = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 &\leq 3 \sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\
&\leq 3 \sum_{a \neq b \neq c,d} 4|W_{dadb,c}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{a \neq b \neq c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a \neq b \neq c,d} 4|W_{dadb,c}|^2 \right) + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2. \tag{17}$$

For $\sum_{a \neq b} 8|W_{1aba,1}|^2$, by Cauchy inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \neq b} 8|W_{1aba,1}|^2 &\leq \sum_{a \neq b} 4|W_{1a1b,a} - W_{1a1a,b}|^2 + \sum_{a \neq b} 4|W_{baba,b} + \sum_{d \neq b} W_{daba,d}|^2 \\
&\quad + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\frac{n-1}{n-3} (|W_{1a1b,a}|^2 + |\sum_{d \neq b} W_{daba,d}|^2) + \frac{n-1}{2} (|W_{1a1a,b}|^2 + |W_{baba,b}|^2) \right) \\
&\quad + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \neq b} 8|W_{1aba,1}|^2 &\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} (8|W_{1a1b,a}|^2 + 4|W_{1a1a,b}|^2 + 4|W_{baba,b}|^2) + \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{d \neq b \neq a} 8|W_{daba,d}|^2 \\
&\quad + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Combing (16), (17) and (18), we get

$$\sum_{a,b,c} 4|W_{1abc,1}|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\sum_{a,b,c,d,e} |W_{abcd,e}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 \right) + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2.$$

For I and III, we separate the terms into two types. By

$$W_{abcd,1} + W_{ab1c,d} + W_{abd1,c} = \delta W \otimes g, \text{ and } W_{1a1a,1} + \sum_c W_{ca1a,c} = \delta W,$$

we first have that

$$\sum_{\{a,b\} \neq \{c,d\}} |W_{abcd,1}|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{\{a,b\} \neq \{c,d\}} (|W_{ab1c,d}|^2 + |W_{abd1,c}|^2) = \sum_{\{a,b\} \neq \{c,d\}} 4|W_{1cab,d}|^2.$$

The remaining terms in III plus the terms in I are

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_a 4|W_{1a1a,1}|^2 + \sum_{\{a,b\}=\{c,d\}} |W_{abcd,1}|^2 \\ & \leq 4 \sum_a \left| \sum_c W_{ca1a,c} \right|^2 + 4 \sum_{a < b} |W_{abab,1}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2 \\ & \leq 4 \sum_a \left| \sum_c W_{ca1a,c} \right|^2 + 4 \sum_{a < b} |W_{ba1a,b} + W_{ab1b,a}|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix by $M_{ab} = W_{ab1b,a}$. Then $M_{ab} = 0$ when $a = b$ and $\sum_b M_{ab} = 0$ for all a .

Claim: $\sum_a \left| \sum_c M_{ca} \right|^2 + \sum_{a < b} |M_{ba} + M_{ab}|^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{a,b} |M_{ab}|^2$.

Assuming the claim, we get that

$$4 \sum_a \left| \sum_c W_{ca1a,c} \right|^2 + 4 \sum_{a < b} |W_{ba1a,b} + W_{ab1b,a}|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{a,b} 8|W_{1bab,a}|^2.$$

Note that $\sum_a |\nabla_a W|^2 = \sum_{a,b,c} 4|W_{1a1b,c}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d,e} |W_{abcd,e}|^2 + \sum_{a,b,c,d} 4|W_{1abc,d}|^2$ and $\sum_{\{a,b\} \neq \{c,d\}} 4|W_{1cab,d}|^2 + \sum_{a,b} 8|W_{1bab,a}|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b,c,d} 4|W_{1abc,d}|^2$. Now combining inequalities of I, II and III, we obtain $|\nabla_1 W|^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_a |\nabla_a W|^2 + C|\delta W||\nabla W| + C|\delta W|^2$, which proves the first part of the lemma. The second part follows by the curvature decomposition $|\nabla Rm|^2 = |\nabla W|^2 + |\nabla A \odot g|^2$.

It remains to prove the Claim. We use Lagrange multiplier to estimate the maximum of $F = \sum_a \left| \sum_c M_{ca} \right|^2 + \sum_{a < b} |M_{ba} + M_{ab}|^2$ under the constraints $H_0 = \sum_{a,b} |M_{ab}|^2 - 1 = 0$ and $H_a = \sum_b M_{ab} = 0$ for $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices M_{ab} satisfying $M_{ab} = 0$ when $a = b$. At a critical point, we have $\nabla F = \lambda_0 \nabla H_0 + \sum_a \lambda_a \nabla H_a$. Therefore,

$$M_{ab} + M_{ba} + \sum_c M_{cb} = \lambda_0 M_{ab} + \frac{\lambda_a}{2}. \quad (19)$$

Fixing a and using $H_c = 0$, we get $\sum_b M_{ab} + \sum_b M_{ba} + \sum_{b \neq a} \sum_c M_{cb} = \sum_b M_{ba} - \sum_c M_{ca} = (n-1) \frac{\lambda_a}{2}$. Hence, $\lambda_a = 0$ for all a . Thus, $\nabla F = \lambda_0 \nabla H_0$. This is the equation for the quadratic polynomial F under the constraint $H_0 = 0$. Therefore, the maximum of F is the maximum of λ_0 . Going back to (19), we have $\sum_a M_{ab} + \sum_a M_{ba} + \sum_{a \neq b} \sum_c M_{cb} = (n-1) \sum_a M_{ab} = \lambda_0 \sum_a M_{ab}$. Hence, either $\lambda_0 = n-1$ or $\sum_a M_{ab} = 0$ for all b . If $\sum_a M_{ab} = 0$ for all b , then $F = \sum_{a < b} |M_{ba} + M_{ab}|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{a,b} |M_{ab}|^2 = 2 < n-1$. Thus, we conclude that $F \leq n-1$. $\square$

We remark that for Ricci flat manifolds, it was shown in [2], [5] that $|\nabla|W||^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n+1}|\nabla W|^2$.

Now we study special cases when g is Kahler or self-dual with constant R . We will show that $\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rc|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2$, which is stronger than $|\nabla Rc|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2$. It was shown in [26] that in dimension 4 for metrics satisfying $\delta W^+ = 0$ with constant R , it holds $|\nabla Rc|^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} |\nabla Rc|^2$.

Lemma 8. *Let $n = 2m \geq 4$. Suppose g is Kahler and R is constant. Then*

$$\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rc|^2 \leq \frac{n}{n+2} |\nabla Rc|^2.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1 = v, \dots, e_m, Je_1, \dots, Je_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis at the point. The letters i, j, k, l are indices from 1 to m and a, b, c, d are from 2 to m . The curvatures $\nabla_1 Rc$ consists of three different kinds (if $m \geq 3$ only the first two kinds): $I = \{R_{ii,1}\}$, $II = \{R_{1a,1}, R_{1Ja,1}\}$, and $III = \{R_{ab,1}, R_{aJb,1}, \text{for } a < b\}$. Note that $|\nabla Rc|^2 = \sum_i |\nabla_i Rc|^2 + \sum_{Ji} |\nabla_{Ji} Rc|^2$ and

$$|\nabla_1 Rc|^2 = \sum_{ij} 2(|R_{ij,1}|^2 + |R_{iJj,1}|^2) = \sum_i 2|R_{ii,1}|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < b} 4(|R_{ib,1}|^2 + |R_{iJb,1}|^2).$$

For I, by (7) and $R_{Z_a \bar{Z}_a, Z_1} = R_{Z_1 \bar{Z}_a, Z_a}$, we get

$$R_{aa,1} = R_{1a,a} + R_{1Ja,J a}, \text{ and } R_{aa,J1} = R_{1a,J a} - R_{1Ja,a}.$$

Therefore, using $\sum_i R_{ii}$ is constant we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i 2|R_{ii,1}|^2 &= 2 \left| \sum_a R_{aa,1} \right|^2 + 2 \sum_a |R_{aa,1}|^2 \leq 2m \sum_a |R_{aa,1}|^2 \\ &= 2m \sum_a |R_{1a,a} + R_{1Ja,J a}|^2 \leq m \sum_a 4(|R_{1a,a}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,J a}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

For II and III, let $1 \leq i < b \leq m$. By (7) and $R_{Z_b \bar{Z}_i, Z_1} = R_{Z_1 \bar{Z}_i, Z_b}$, we get

$$R_{ib,1} = R_{iJb,J1} + R_{1i,b} + R_{1Ji,Jb}, \text{ and } R_{iJb,1} = -R_{ib,J1} - R_{1Ji,b} + R_{1i,Jb}.$$

Therefore,

$$R_{1b,1} = R_{1Jb,J1} + R_{11,b}, \text{ and } R_{1Jb,1} = -R_{1b,J1} + R_{11,Jb}.$$

Using $|R_{11,b}|^2 \leq (m-1) \sum_a |R_{aa,b}|^2$ and $8 \frac{m-1}{m} \leq 2m$, II becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a 4(|R_{1a,1}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,1}|^2) &\leq 8 \sum_a (|R_{11,a}|^2 + |R_{11,J a}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,J1}|^2 + |R_{1a,J1}|^2) \\ &\leq 8 \frac{m-1}{m} \sum_{i,a} (|R_{ii,a}|^2 + |R_{ii,J a}|^2) + 8 \sum_a (|R_{1Ja,J1}|^2 + |R_{1a,J1}|^2) \\ &\leq m \sum_{i,a} 2(|R_{ii,a}|^2 + |R_{ii,J a}|^2) + m \sum_a 4(|R_{1Ja,J1}|^2 + |R_{1a,J1}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $1 < a < b \leq m$,

$$R_{ab,1} = R_{aJb,J1} + R_{1a,b} + R_{1Ja,Jb}, \text{ and } R_{aJb,1} = -R_{ab,J1} - R_{1Ja,b} + R_{1a,Jb}.$$

And III becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < b} 4(|R_{ab,1}|^2 + |R_{aJb,1}|^2) &= \sum_{a < b} 4(|R_{aJb,J1} + R_{1a,b} + R_{1Ja,Jb}|^2 + |R_{ab,J1} + R_{1Ja,b} - R_{1a,Jb}|^2) \\ &\leq m \sum_{a < b} 4(|R_{aJb,J1}|^2 + |R_{ab,J1}|^2 + |R_{1a,b}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,b}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,Jb}|^2 + |R_{1a,Jb}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we use $m \geq 3$. (Note that when $m = 2$, there is no terms in III.)

Combining I, II and III (when $m = 2$, combining only I and II),

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_1 Rc|^2 &\leq m \left(\sum_{i,a} 2(|R_{ii,a}|^2 + |R_{ii,Ja}|^2) + \sum_{a,b} 4(|R_{1a,b}|^2 + |R_{1Ja,b}|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq i < b,j} 4(|R_{ib,Jj}|^2 + |R_{iJb,Jj}|^2) \right) \leq m \left(\sum_a |\nabla_a Rc|^2 + \sum_i |\nabla_{Ji} Rc|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Adding $m|\nabla_1 Rc|^2$ on both sides gives the inequality. $\square$

We consider a weaker condition $\delta W^- = 0$ ($\delta W^+ = 0$) than (anti-)self-dual.

Lemma 9. *Let $n = 4$. Suppose $\delta W^+ = 0$ (or $\delta W^- = 0$), and R is constant. Then*

$$\sup_{|v|=1} |\nabla_v Rc|^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} |\nabla Rc|^2.$$

Proof. We will prove the case when $\delta W^+ = 0$. The proof for the case $\delta W^- = 0$ is similar. Let $\{e_1 = v, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be an orthonormal basis at the point. The indices i, j, k are from 1 to 4 and a, b, c are from 2 to 4. Without loss of generality, we may assume $R_{ab,1}$ is diagonal. Hence, $R_{ij,1}$ consists of two different kinds: $I = \{R_{ii,1}\}$ and $II = \{R_{1a,1}, R_{a1,1}\}$.

Since $\delta W^+ = 0$, we have $\frac{1}{2}dRc = dA = \delta W = \delta W^- \in T^*M \otimes \Lambda_-^2$. Therefore, dRc is perpendicular to Λ_+^2 . Recall that a basis for Λ_+^2 is $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_4), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3)\}$. Hence, we obtain the following twelve equations:

$$\begin{cases} R_{i2,1} = R_{i1,2} + R_{i3,4} - R_{i4,3}, \\ R_{i3,1} = R_{i1,3} + R_{i4,2} - R_{i2,4}, \\ R_{i4,1} = R_{i1,4} + R_{i2,3} - R_{i3,2}, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

For terms in I, by (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{22,1} &= R_{12,2} + R_{23,4} - R_{24,3}, \\ R_{33,1} &= R_{13,3} + R_{34,2} - R_{32,4}, \\ R_{44,1} &= R_{14,4} + R_{42,3} - R_{43,2}, \\ R_{11,1} &= -R_{22,1} - R_{33,1} - R_{44,1} = -R_{12,2} - R_{13,3} - R_{14,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |R_{11,1}|^2 + |R_{22,1}|^2 + |R_{33,1}|^2 + |R_{44,1}|^2 \\
&= 4(|R_{12,2}|^2 + |R_{13,3}|^2 + |R_{14,4}|^2 + |R_{23,4}|^2 + |R_{24,3}|^2 + |R_{34,2}|^2) - (R_{23,4} + R_{24,3} + R_{34,2})^2 \\
&\quad - (R_{12,2} - R_{13,3} - R_{23,4})^2 - (R_{13,3} - R_{14,4} - R_{34,2})^2 - (R_{14,4} - R_{12,2} - R_{24,3})^2 \\
&\leq 4(|R_{12,2}|^2 + |R_{13,3}|^2 + |R_{14,4}|^2 + |R_{23,4}|^2 + |R_{24,3}|^2 + |R_{34,2}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For II, using (20) again and the Bianchi identity $R_{21,1} = -R_{22,2} - R_{23,3} - R_{24,4}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |R_{12,1}|^2 + |R_{21,1}|^2 = |R_{11,2} + R_{13,4} - R_{14,3}|^2 + |R_{22,2} + R_{23,3} + R_{24,4}|^2 \\
&= 2(|R_{11,2}|^2 + |R_{22,2}|^2) + 4(|R_{13,4}|^2 + |R_{14,3}|^2 + |R_{23,3}|^2 + |R_{24,4}|^2) - 2(R_{13,4} + R_{14,3})^2 \\
&\quad - 2(R_{23,3} - R_{24,4})^2 - (R_{11,2} + R_{14,3} - R_{13,4})^2 - (R_{22,2} - R_{23,3} - R_{24,4})^2 \\
&\leq 2(|R_{11,2}|^2 + |R_{22,2}|^2) + 4(|R_{13,4}|^2 + |R_{14,3}|^2 + |R_{23,3}|^2 + |R_{24,4}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |R_{13,1}|^2 + |R_{31,1}|^2 \leq 2(|R_{11,3}|^2 + |R_{33,3}|^2) + 4(|R_{12,4}|^2 + |R_{14,2}|^2 + |R_{32,2}|^2 + |R_{34,4}|^2), \\
& |R_{14,1}|^2 + |R_{41,1}|^2 \leq 2(|R_{11,4}|^2 + |R_{44,4}|^2) + 4(|R_{12,3}|^2 + |R_{13,2}|^2 + |R_{42,2}|^2 + |R_{43,3}|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the above three inequalities and the one from I together, we get $|\nabla_1 Rc|^2 \leq 2 \sum_a |\nabla_a Rc|^2$. Therefore, $|\nabla_1 Rc|^2 \leq \frac{2}{3} |\nabla Rc|^2$. $\square$

4 Proofs of Theorem 1, 2 and Proposition 2

Proof of Proposition 2. Note that $\nabla_i X^j = \delta_{ij} + \Gamma * X$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle dV = - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_j T, \nabla_j (X^i \nabla_i T) \rangle dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_j T, \nabla_j T + \Gamma * X * \nabla T + X^i \nabla_j \nabla_i T \rangle dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma,
\end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit outer normal. Exchanging the derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle dV &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla_j T, \nabla_j T + \Gamma * X * \nabla T + X^i \nabla_i \nabla_j T + X * Rm * T \rangle dV \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma \\
&= \int_{\Omega} (-|\nabla T|^2 - \frac{1}{2} X^i \nabla_i |T|^2 + \nabla T * \nabla T * \Gamma * X \\
&\quad + \nabla T * T * X * Rm) dV + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \Delta T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle dV &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla T|^2 + \nabla T * \nabla T * \Gamma * X + \nabla T * T * Rm * X \right) dV \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla_{\nu} T, X^i \nabla_i T \rangle d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \langle X, \nu \rangle |\nabla T|^2 d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Bando-Kasue-Nakajima [2], if $|Rm| = O(r^{-(2+\alpha)})$, then there exist coordinates of order α . The problem boils down to estimating the decay of $|Rm|$.

Let D_r be the complement of the geodesic ball of radius r . Applying Lemma 2 to (4) we have $|Rm| \in L^{\frac{n}{2}\gamma}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2 (a) with $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and the Sobolev inequality,

$$\sup_{D_{2r}} |Rm| \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-(s+2)} \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(D_r)} + Cr^{-(s+2)}. \quad (21)$$

The formula (21) passes the decay of $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV$ to that of $|Rm|$. We will use Pohozaev's identity and apply ODE estimates (Lemma 5) to $f(r) = \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV$ to get the decay of $f(r)$. Then apply the above regularity.

(a) Case 1. $n \geq 5$.

By Lemma 2, we get that $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha_0})$ for all $\alpha_0 < \min\{n-2, s+2\}$. Therefore, $\alpha_0 > 0$. By Proposition 3, we have $|\nabla^k Rm| = O(r^{-(\alpha_0+k)})$. By [2], there exist coordinates x and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $C^{-1}r \leq |x| \leq Cr$, $|g - \delta| = O(|x|^{-\delta_0}) = O(r^{-\delta_0})$ and $|\partial g| = O(|x|^{-\delta_0-1}) = O(r^{-\delta_0-1})$, where δ is the standard metric on the Euclidean space. Since distances $|x|$ and r are equivalent, we will still denote $|x|$ by r and denote by D_r the complement of the coordinates ball of radius r and by S_r the coordinates sphere of radius r .

Applying Proposition 2 with $T = Rm$ and $\Omega = D_r$ and using (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{D_r} (\nabla \delta Rm * X * \nabla Rm + Rm * Rm * X * \nabla Rm) dV = \int_{D_r} \Delta R_{ijkl} X_p R_{ijkl,p} dV \\ &\geq \int_{D_r} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 - C |\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| - C |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| \right) dV \\ &+ \int_{S_r} \nu_p R_{ijkl,p} X_q R_{ijkl,q} d\sigma - \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{2} X_k \nu_k |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit outward normal. Note that $\nu = -\frac{X}{r} + O(r^{-\delta_0})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C (|\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| + |\nabla \delta Rm| |X| |\nabla Rm|) dV \\ &+ r \int_{S_r} |\nabla_{\nu} Rm|^2 d\sigma - r \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + C \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 r^{1-\delta_0} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 6, the above formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C(|\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| + |\nabla \delta Rm| |X| |\nabla Rm|) dV \\ &+ \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} + Cr^{-\delta_0} \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C(|\delta Rm|^2 + |\delta Rm| |\nabla Rm|) d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using $|\nabla^k \delta Rm| = O(r^{-(k+s+3)})$ and $|\nabla Rm| = O(r^{-(\alpha_0+1)})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - \epsilon \right) |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \left(\frac{n-2}{2(n+2)} + \epsilon \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma \\ &+ O(r^{-(2s+6-n)} + r^{-(4\alpha_0-2-n)} + r^{-(2\alpha_0+2-n+\delta_0)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for some ϵ' small

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq (n+2-\epsilon')^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(2s+6-n)} + r^{-(4\alpha_0-2-n)} + r^{-(2\alpha_0+2-n+\delta_0)}). \quad (24)$$

When $s \geq n-2$, we have $2\alpha_0 + 2 - n + \delta_0 > 2s + 6 - n \geq n + 2$. If $n \geq 6$, then $4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 3n - 10 - \tilde{\epsilon} > n + 2 - \epsilon'$ by choosing $\tilde{\epsilon} \ll \epsilon'$. Hence, by Lemma 5 with $f(r) = \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV$, we have $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(n+2-\epsilon')})$. Since $|x|$ and the geodesic distance are equivalent, we can apply (21) to the complement of the coordinates ball D_r . Thus, $|Rm| = O(r^{-(n-\frac{\epsilon'}{2})} + r^{-(s+2)}) = O(r^{-(n-\frac{\epsilon'}{2})})$. Therefore, $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < n$. If $n = 5$, $4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 5 - \tilde{\epsilon} < n + 2 - \epsilon' = 7 - \epsilon'$. Again, by Lemma 5 we get $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(5-\tilde{\epsilon})})$. By (21), $|Rm| = O(r^{-(4-\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2})})$. Go back to (24) now with $\alpha_0 = 4 - \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}$. Then $4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 9 - 2\tilde{\epsilon} > n + 2 - \epsilon' = 7 - \epsilon'$. By Lemma 5 and (21), we finally obtain $|Rm| = O(r^{-(5-\frac{\epsilon'}{2})})$ and hence $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < 5$. This completes the proof for $s = n-2$.

When $s > n-2$, by (23) with $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ and $|\nabla Rm| = O(r^{-(\alpha+1)})$ (by Proposition 3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma \\ &+ O(r^{-(2\alpha+2+\delta_0-n)} + r^{-(2s+6-n)} + r^{-(\alpha+s+4-n)} + r^{-(3\alpha-n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha = n - \tilde{\epsilon}$ be close to n . Then $2\alpha + 2 + \delta_0 - n$, $2s + 6 - n$, $\alpha + s + 4 - n$ and $3\alpha - n$ are all strictly larger than $n + 2$. Hence, $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq (n+2)^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-\beta})$ for some $\beta > n + 2$. By Lemma 5 and (21) again, $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$.

When $n-4 \leq s < n-2$, we have $2\alpha_0 + 2 - n + \delta_0$, $n + 2 - \epsilon' > 2s + 6 - n$. If $n \geq 6$, then $4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 3n - 10 - \tilde{\epsilon} > 2s + 6 - n$. Applying Lemma 5 to (24) gives $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(2s+6-n)})$. Thus, $|Rm| = O(r^{-(s+2)})$. If $n = 5$ and $2s + 1 < 5$, then $2s + 6 - n < 4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 5 - \tilde{\epsilon}$. By Lemma 5, (24) and (21), we get $|Rm| = O(r^{-(s+2)})$. If $n = 5$ and $2s + 1 \geq 5$, then $2s + 6 - n > 4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 5 - \tilde{\epsilon}$. Hence, $|Rm| =$

$O(r^{-(4-\frac{\epsilon}{2})})$. Applying the same argument to (24) with $\alpha_0 = 4 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, we finally arrive at $|Rm| = O(r^{-(s+2)})$.

When $s < n - 4$, we have $n + 2 - \epsilon', 2\alpha_0 + 2 - n + \delta_0 > 2s + 6 - n$ and $4\alpha_0 - 2 - n = 4s + 6 - n - \tilde{\epsilon} > 2s + 6 - n$. By Lemma 5, (24) and (21), we get $|Rm| = O(r^{-(s+2)})$.

Case 2. $n = 4$.

Consider the equation (4). By Lemma 2 (a) and Proposition 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Rm| &\leq Cr^{-4/p} \|Rm\|_{L^p} + Cr^{-(2+s)}, \\ \int_{B_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq Cr^{-2} \int_{B_{2r}} |Rm|^2 dV + Cr^{-2(s+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for $p > 1$. Since $|Rm|^2$ is integrable, let $\int_{D_r} |Rm|^2 dV = \epsilon_0(r)^2$, where $\epsilon_0(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by [25], we have $|g - \delta| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)$, $|\partial g| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)/r$ and $|Rm| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)/r^2$.

By (23), (noting that $\nu = -\frac{\chi}{r} + o(1)$, then $r^{-\delta_0}$ becomes $\epsilon_0(r)$ in (23))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C(|\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| + |\nabla \delta Rm| |X| |\nabla Rm|) dV \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{6} + C\epsilon_0(r)\right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C(|\delta Rm|^2 + |\delta Rm| |\nabla Rm|) d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Let $A_r = \{x : r/2 < |x| < 3r/2\}$. By (25) and Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 r dV &\leq Cr \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)} \|Rm\|_{L^4(A_r)}^2 \leq Cr \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)}^3 \\ &\leq C(\|Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)} + r^{-s}) \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| dV \leq C(\epsilon_0(r) + r^{-s}) \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(D_r)}^2.$$

Now (26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon_0(r) - \epsilon) \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq C \int_{D_r} |\nabla \delta Rm|^2 |X|^2 dV \\ &+ \left(\epsilon + \frac{1}{6} + C\epsilon_0(r)\right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C|\delta Rm|^2 d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ small. Thus, by $|\nabla^k \delta Rm| = O(r^{-(s+3+k)})$ for some ϵ' small

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq (6 - \epsilon')^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(2s+2)}). \quad (27)$$

When $s \geq 2$, we have $2s + 2 \geq 6$. Hence, by Lemma 5, we have $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(6-\epsilon')})$. By (21), $|Rm| = O(r^{-(4-\frac{\epsilon'}{2})})$. Therefore, $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < 4$. This

completes the proof for $s = 2$ case. When $s > 2$, now $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for $\alpha = 4 - \tilde{\epsilon}$. Thus, by [2] there exist coordinates such that $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$ and $|\partial g| = O(r^{-\delta_0-1})$ for some fixed δ_0 . The rest of the argument is exactly the same as in Case 1 ($n \geq 5$), $s > n - 2$. We have

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq \frac{1}{6} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(2\alpha-2+\delta_0)} + r^{-(2s+2)} + r^{-(\alpha+s)} + r^{-(3\alpha-4)}).$$

We can check that $2\alpha - 2 + \delta_0, 2s + 2, \alpha + s$ and $3\alpha - 4$ are all strictly larger than 6. Hence, By Lemma 5 and (21), $|Rm| = O(r^{-4})$.

When $s < 2$, we have $2s + 2 < 6 - \epsilon'$. Applying Lemma 5 to (27) gives $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(2s+2)})$. Thus, $|Rm| = O(r^{-(s+2)})$.

(b) Since $\delta Rm = dRc$, $|\nabla^k \delta Rm| \leq |\nabla^{k+1} Rc|$. And by (a) we already know that $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$, $|\partial g| = O(r^{-\delta_0-1})$ and $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < n$. We will prove that under assumptions $|\nabla Rc| = O(r^{-(n+1)})$ and $\int_{D_r} |\nabla^2 Rc|^2 dV = O(r^{-(n+4)})$ we have $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$.

By (22) and Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C(|\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| + |\nabla^2 Rc| |X| |\nabla Rm|) dV \\ &+ \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} + Cr^{-\delta_0} \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C(|\nabla Rc|^2 + |\nabla Rc| |\nabla Rm|) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - \epsilon \right) |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C(|Rm|^4 |X|^2 + |\nabla^2 Rc|^2 |X|^2) dV \\ &+ \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C|\nabla Rc|^2 d\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ small. Hence, for some $\epsilon' > 0$ small.

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{n-3} - \epsilon' \right)^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(n+2)} + r^{-(4\alpha-2-n)}).$$

Since $4\alpha - 2 - n = 3n - 2 - \tilde{\epsilon}$ and $\frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{n-3} - \epsilon'$ are both strictly larger than $n + 2$, by Lemma 5 we have $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV = O(r^{-(n+2)})$. Finally, by (21), $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. We will use the coupled system (8). By (11) and the work by Tian-Viaclovsky [25], we first get that (M, g) has the maximum volume growth and is ALE of order zero. Then harmonic metrics case follows by Theorem 1 by letting $s \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to prove the case of Kahler metrics and (anti-)self-dual metrics.

Since Rc satisfies $\Delta Rc = Rc * Rm$, we have

$$\Delta |Rc| \geq -C |Rc| |Rm|.$$

By Lemma 8 and 9,

$$\Delta |Rc|^{1-\frac{2}{n}} \geq -C |Rc|^{1-\frac{2}{n}} |Rm|.$$

By Lemma 1 (b), we have $|Rc|^{1-\frac{2}{n}} = O(r^{-\alpha_0})$ for all $\alpha_0 < n-2$. Thus $|Rc| = O(r^{-\alpha_1})$ for all $\alpha_1 < n$. By Lemma 1 (a) and the Sobolev inequality.

$$\sup_{D_{2r}} |Rc| \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|Rc\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(D_r)} \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|\nabla Rc\|_{L^2(D_r)}. \quad (29)$$

Let $\gamma = \frac{n}{n-2} > 1$.

Case 1. $n \geq 5$.

Applying Lemma 2 (c) to the equation $\Delta |Rm| \leq -C |Rm|^2 - C |\nabla^2 Rc|$ and using (9) with $q = \frac{n}{2}\gamma$, we get that $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha_2})$ for all $\alpha_2 < n-2$. Therefore, $\alpha_2 > 2$. By (11), we have $|\nabla^k Rm| = O(r^{-(\alpha_2+k)})$. Hence, by [2], there exist coordinates x and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $C^{-1}r \leq |x| \leq Cr$, $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$ and $|\partial g| = O(r^{-\delta_0-1})$. Since distances $|x|$ and r are equivalent, we will still denote $|x|$ by r and denote by D_r the complement of the coordinates ball of radius r and by S_r the coordinates sphere of radius r .

Applying Proposition 2 with $T = Rc$ and $\Omega = D_r$ and using (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D_r} Rc * Rm * X * \nabla Rc dV = \int_{D_r} \Delta R_{ij} X_k R_{ij,k} dV \\ & \geq \int_{D_r} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rc|^2 - C |\nabla Rc|^2 |\Gamma| |X| - C |\nabla Rc| |Rc| |Rm| |X| \right) dV \\ & + \int_{S_r} \nu_l R_{ij,l} X_k R_{ij,k} d\sigma - \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{2} X_k \nu_k |\nabla Rc|^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit outward normal. Note that $\nu = -\frac{X}{r} + O(r^{-\delta_0})$. By Lemma 8 and 9 again, the above formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla Rc|^2 dV & \leq \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 d\sigma + C \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 r^{1-\delta_0} d\sigma \\ & + C \int_{D_r} (|\nabla Rc|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rc| |Rc| |Rm| |X|) dV. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x|$ and the geodesic distance are equivalent, we can apply (9), (10) and (11) on D_r , the complement of coordinates ball. Use (9) to get that $\|\nabla Rc\|_{L^2(D_{2r})} \leq$

$Cr^{-\frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}\gamma}(D_{2r})} \leq Cr^{-3+\frac{n}{2}} \|Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} = O(r^{-(\alpha_1+1-\frac{n}{2})})$. Observe that ∇Rc satisfies $\Delta \nabla Rc = Rm * \nabla Rc + \nabla Rm * Rc$. Thus, $\Delta |\nabla Rc| \geq -C |Rm| |\nabla Rc| - C |\nabla Rm * Rc|$. Applying Lemma 2 (a) to the above equation with $p = 2$ and $q = \frac{n}{2}\gamma$, and using $\sup_{|x|=r} |\nabla Rm| = O(r^{-(\alpha_2+1)})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{D_{2r}} |\nabla Rc| & \leq Cr^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla Rc\|_{L^2(D_r)} + Cr^2 \sup_{D_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rc| \\ & = O(r^{-(\alpha_1+1)} + r^{-(\alpha_2+\alpha_1-1)}) = O(r^{-(\alpha_1+1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 dV \leq \frac{1}{n+2} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(2\alpha_1+2+\delta_0-n)} + r^{-(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2-n)}).$$

Since $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - n > 2\alpha_1 + 2 + \delta_0 - n > n + 2$, by Lemma 5 with $f = \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 dV$, we have $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rc|^2 dV = O(r^{-(n+2)})$ and by (29), $|Rc| = O(r^{-n})$. (Since $|x|$ and the geodesic distance are equivalent, we can apply (29) on D_r , the complement of coordinates ball.) Note that the above Rc estimates are independent of the dimensions once we have $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$.

Now we prove that $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$. Similar as before, we have $\sup_{|x|=r} |\nabla Rc| = O(r^{-(n+1)})$ by (30) with $\alpha_1 = n$ now. By (9), we also have

$$\|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^2(D_{2r})} \leq Cr^{-\frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{n}{2}} \|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}\gamma}(D_{2r})} \leq Cr^{-4 + \frac{n}{2}} \|Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} = O(r^{-(\frac{n}{2}+2)}). \quad (31)$$

By (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - \epsilon \right) |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma \\ &+ O(r^{-(n+2)} + r^{-(4\alpha_2-2-n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{n-3} - \epsilon' \right)^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(n+2)} + r^{-(4\alpha_2-2-n)}) \quad (32)$$

for ϵ' small. Note that $\frac{(n+1)(n-2)}{n-3} - \epsilon' > n + 2$.

When $n \geq 7$, $4\alpha_2 - 2 - n = 3n - 10 - \tilde{\epsilon} > n + 2$. By Lemma 5, we get $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 = O(r^{-(n+2)})$. Applying Lemma 2 (a) to $\Delta|Rm| \geq -C|Rm|^2 - C|\nabla^2 Rc|$ with $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and $q = \frac{n}{2}\gamma$, we have

$$\sup_{D_{2r}} |Rm| \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|Rm\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(D_r)} + Cr^{-n} \leq Cr^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(D_r)} + Cr^{-n}. \quad (33)$$

Therefore, $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$.

When $n = 5$ and 6 , $4\alpha_2 - 2 - n = 3n - 10 - \tilde{\epsilon} < n + 2$. By Lemma 5 and (33), $\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 = O(r^{-(3n-10-\tilde{\epsilon})})$ and $|Rm| = O(r^{-(2n-6-\tilde{\epsilon})})$. Now going back to (32) with $\alpha_2 = 2n - 6 - \tilde{\epsilon}$, we have $4\alpha_2 - 2 - n = 7n - 26 - 4\tilde{\epsilon} > n + 2$. Thus, by Lemma 5 and (33) $|Rm| = O(r^{-n})$.

Case 2. $n = 4$.

Consider the equation $\Delta|Rm| \geq -C|Rm|^2 - C|\nabla^2 Rc|$. By (31), $\|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^2(D_{2r})} \leq Cr^{-2} \|Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(D_r)} = O(r^{-\alpha_1})$. Therefore, by Lemma 2 (a) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{D_{2r}} |Rm| &\leq Cr^{-4/p} \|Rm\|_{L^p(D_r)} + Cr^{-\alpha_1}, \\ \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(B_{r/2}(x))} &\leq Cr \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^4(B_{r/2}(x))} \leq Cr^{-1} \|Rm\|_{L^2(B_r(x))}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for $p > 1$. Since $|Rm|^2$ is integrable, let $\int_{D_r} |Rm|^2 dV = \epsilon_0(r)^2$, where $\epsilon_0(r) \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by [25] we have $|g - \delta| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)$, $|\partial g| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)/r$ and $|Rm| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)/r^2$.

By (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq \int_{D_r} C(|\nabla Rm|^2 |\Gamma| |X| + |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| + |\nabla \delta Rm| |X| |\nabla Rm|) dV \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{6} + C\epsilon_0(r)\right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C(|\delta Rm|^2 + |\delta Rm| |\nabla Rm|) d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Let $A_r = \{x : r/2 < |x| < 3r/2\}$. By (34) and Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 r dV &\leq Cr \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)} \|Rm\|_{L^4(A_r)}^2 \leq Cr \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)}^3 \\ &\leq C \|Rm\|_{L^2(B_{\frac{5}{4}r} \setminus B_{\frac{3}{4}r})} \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(A_r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rm|^2 |X| dV \leq C\epsilon_0(r) \|\nabla Rm\|_{L^2(D_r)}^2.$$

Now (35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon_0(r) - \epsilon) \int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV &\leq C \int_{D_r} |\nabla \delta Rm|^2 |X|^2 dV \\ &+ \left(\epsilon + \frac{1}{6}\right) r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + r \int_{S_r} C |\delta Rm|^2 d\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ small. To compute the above formula, by (9), $\|\nabla Rc\|_{L^2(D_{2r})} \leq Cr \|\nabla Rc\|_{L^4(D_{2r})} \leq Cr^{-1} \|Rc\|_{L^2(D_r)} = O(r^{-(\alpha_1-1)})$. By (30) and $|\nabla Rm| \leq C\epsilon_0(r)/r^3$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{D_{2r}} |\nabla Rc| &\leq Cr^{-2} \|\nabla Rc\|_{L^2(D_r)} + Cr^2 \sup_{D_r} |\nabla Rm| |Rc| \\ &= O((1 + \epsilon_0(r)) r^{-(\alpha_1+1)}) = O(r^{-(\alpha_1+1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Go back to (36). Noting that $|\delta Rm| \leq |\nabla Rc|$, $|\nabla \delta Rm| \leq |\nabla^2 Rc|$ and using (37) and $\|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^2(D_r)} = O(r^{-\alpha_1})$ we obtain

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 dV \leq (6 - \epsilon')^{-1} r \int_{S_r} |\nabla Rm|^2 d\sigma + O(r^{-(2\alpha_1-2)})$$

for ϵ' small. Since $2\alpha_1 - 2 = 6 - \tilde{\epsilon} > 6 - \epsilon'$ by choosing $\tilde{\epsilon} \ll \epsilon'$, by Lemma 5 and (33), $|Rm| = O(r^{-(4-\frac{\epsilon'}{2})})$. Hence, $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha < 4$.

By [2], there exist coordinates x and $0 < \delta_0 < 1$ such that $C^{-1}r \leq |x| \leq Cr$, $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$ and $|\partial g| = O(r^{-\delta_0-1})$. Now by the same argument as in Case 1 ($n \geq 5$), (the Rc estimates are independent of the dimensions once we have $|g - \delta| = O(r^{-\delta_0})$) we get $|Rc| = O(r^{-4})$, $|\nabla Rc| = O(r^{-5})$ and $\|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^2} = O(r^{-4})$. Then by the same proof as in Theorem 1 (b), we get $|Rm| = O(r^{-4})$.

Finally, for both Cases 1 and 2 by [2] there exist coordinates of order $n - 2$. $\square$

5 Proof of Corollary 1

Proof of Corollary 1. By going to the universal cover, we may assume the group $\Gamma = \{e\}$. Since g is of C^0 , in particular $\det g$ is of C^0 and thus $\text{Vol} B_r \leq Cr^n$. Choose r small such that $(\int_{B_r} |Rm|^{n/2} dV)^{2/n} < \epsilon_0$, where ϵ_0 is as in Lemma 3 and 4. Applying Lemma 3 to the equation

$$\Delta |Rc| \geq -C |Rm| |Rc|$$

gives $|Rc| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Let $A_r = \{x : r/2 < |x| < 3r/2\}$. Let $q > n/2$. By (9)

$$\|\nabla^2 Rc\|_{L^q(A_r)} \leq Cr^{-4+\frac{n}{q}} \|Rc\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(B_{2r} \setminus B_{\frac{r}{2}})} \leq Cr^{-2-\alpha+\frac{n}{q}}$$

for all $\alpha > 0$. Now applying Lemma 4 to the equation

$$\Delta |Rm| \geq -C |Rm| |Rm| - C |\nabla^2 Rc|$$

produces $|Rm| = O(r^{-\alpha})$ for all $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, by [2] P342 (replacing $|x|^2$ by $|x|^{2-\alpha}$ in our case) there exists a diffeomorphism ϕ of B_r such that $\phi^*g - \delta = O(r^{-\alpha+2})$ and $\partial \phi^*g = O(r^{-\alpha+1})$. Hence, $g \in C^{1,\beta}$ for some $0 < \beta < 1$. By DeTurck-Kazdan [12], there exist harmonic coordinates around the origin. Now apply standard regularity to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta g &= -2Rc + Q(g, \partial g) \\ \Delta Rc &= Rm * Rc \end{aligned}$$

for g in harmonic coordinates. We first have that $Rc \in L^p(B_1)$ for all p . By the second equation, $Rc \in W^{2,p}(B_1)$. Going back to the first equation, the right hand side is in $W^{1,p}$. Thus, $g \in W^{3,p}(B_1)$. Bootstrapping in this manner, we finally get that g extends to a smooth Riemannian metric across the origin. $\square$

References

- [1] Claudio Arezzo and Frank Pacard. Blowing up and desingularizing constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 196(2):179–228, 2006.
- [2] Shigetoshi Bando, Atsushi Kasue, and Hiraku Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):313–349, 1989.
- [3] Robert Bartnik. The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(5):661–693, 1986.
- [4] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [5] T. Branson. Kato constants in Riemannian geometry. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7(2-3):245–261, 2000.

- [6] E. Calabi. Métriques kählériennes et fibrés holomorphes. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(2):269–294, 1979.
- [7] David M. J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Marc Herzlich. Refined Kato inequalities and conformal weights in Riemannian geometry. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 173(1):214–255, 2000.
- [8] Jeff Cheeger and Gang Tian. On the cone structure at infinity of Ricci flat manifolds with Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay. *Invent. Math.*, 118(3):493–571, 1994.
- [9] Szu-yu Sophie Chen. Asymptotic behaviors of non-variational elliptic systems. preprint.
- [10] Xiuxiong Chen, Claude Lebrun, and Brian Weber. On conformally Kähler, Einstein manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(4):1137–1168, 2008.
- [11] Xiuxiong Chen and Brian Weber. Moduli spaces of critical Riemannian metrics with $L^{n/2}$ norm curvature bounds. preprint.
- [12] Dennis M. DeTurck and Jerry L. Kazdan. Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 14(3):249–260, 1981.
- [13] Matthew J. Gursky and Claude Lebrun. On Einstein manifolds of positive sectional curvature. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 17(4):315–328, 1999.
- [14] Dominic D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [15] M. Khuri, F. Marques, and R. Schoen. A compactness theorem for the Yamabe problem. preprint.
- [16] Claude LeBrun. Counter-examples to the generalized positive action conjecture. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 118(4):591–596, 1988.
- [17] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [18] Henrik Pedersen and Y. Sun Poon. Hamiltonian constructions of Kähler-Einstein metrics and Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 136(2):309–326, 1991.
- [19] Johan Råde. Decay estimates for Yang-Mills fields; two new proofs. In *Global analysis in modern mathematics (Orono, ME, 1991; Waltham, MA, 1992)*, pages 91–105. Publish or Perish, 1993.

- [20] Yann Rollin and Michael Singer. Construction of Kaehler surfaces with constant scalar curvature. preprint.
- [21] R. Schoen, L. Simon, and S. T. Yau. Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces. *Acta Math.*, 134(3-4):275–288, 1975.
- [22] L. M. Sibner. The isolated point singularity problem for the coupled Yang-Mills equations in higher dimensions. *Math. Ann.*, 271, 1985.
- [23] Santiago R. Simanca. Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature on bundles over $\mathbf{CP}_{n-1}$. *Math. Ann.*, 291(2):239–246, 1991.
- [24] Jeffrey Streets. Asymptotic curvature decay and removal of singularities of Bach-flat metrics. preprint.
- [25] Gang Tian and Jeff Viaclovsky. Volume growth, curvature decay, and critical metrics. preprint.
- [26] Gang Tian and Jeff Viaclovsky. Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics. *Invent. Math.*, 160(2):357–415, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA

Email address: sophie@math.berkeley.edu

Current address:

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ

Email address: sophie@math.ias.edu